

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,023	10/682,023 10/10/2003		Greg Trude	29953-190092	8021	
26694	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20045-9998				WEAVER, SUE A		
			ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
				3727		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Sp					
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/682,023		TRUDE, GREG						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Sue A. Wear		3727						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status		•							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
Disposition of Claims									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-21,23-25 and 27-38</u> is/are rej 7) Claim(s) <u>15,22 and 26</u> is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. )□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. )□ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-21,23-25 and 27-38</u> is/are rejected.								
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a)⊠ accept drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been brity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	I Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/04+.	,	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal F  Other:	ate	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/682,023

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11, 21, 23, 31, 33 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 depends from claim 8, which requires that the indented portion extends 360 degrees around the perimeter. Therefore the claim that it extends around the container doesn't appear to further limit the 360 degree limitation.

Claims 21 and 23 each recites the limitation "the active panel" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 31 recites a second shoulder, which implies a first shoulder, which hasn't been claimed. Therefore the use of the term second in absence of a first is considered confusing.

Claim 33 recites the limitation "the shoulder" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 38 recites the limitation "the second shoulder" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,023 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-14, 16-21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kelley et al '911.

Kelley et al teach a flex panel construction for plastic containers which includes differing radii as shown at R sub C1-4 in Figures 3-6. Note that the panels are rectangular and that a panel radius through a groove 44 inherently has a varying radius as claimed. Note also that these are not indented flex panels but with edges adjacent each other as shown in Figures 3-6. Note also the finish at 14 and indented supports at 18 and 38 Kelley et al also teach that a varying number of panels may be used which is considered to be inclusive of four.

4. Claims 12-14, 16, 17, 24, 25 and 27-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Melrose et al '491.

Melrose teaches a hot fill container with a plurality of active surfaces and upper and lower indented supports as shown in Figures 11 and 12. the number of surfaces taught by Melrose et al is inclusive of 6, as claimed. Note that members 1172 define support posts between active surfaces.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/682,023

Art Unit: 3727

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,935,525 in view of Kelley et al '911. To have added indented reinforcing structure above and below the panels of Trude '525 would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Kelley et al.

- 6. Claims 15, 22 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vailliencourt et al show indented reinforcing structures above and below vacuum panels.
- 8. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,023

Art Unit: 3727

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: \_\_\_\_ **Certificate of Transmission** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. ( ) - - on \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_ (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: \_\_\_

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

Art Unit: 3727

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW